

# Ammonia-Borane Dehydrogenation Promoted by an Osmium Dihydride Complex: Kinetics and Mechanism

Miguel A. Esteruelas,\* Ana M. López, Malka Mora, and Enrique Oñate

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea (ISQCH), Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Complex  $OsH_2(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$ , generated from  $OsH_2(CO)(\eta^2-CH_2=CHEt)(P^iPr_3)_2$  by dissociation of the olefin, promotes the release of 1 equiv of H<sub>2</sub> from ammonia-borane and the formation of polyaminoborane. The dehydrogenation rate law is of the form  $d[H_2]/dt = k[Os]$  with activation parameters of  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 19.6 \pm 2.5$  kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 6 \pm 9$  cal·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>. On the basis of kinetic results, spectroscopic NMR observations, and DFT calculations, the dihydride-dihydrogen derivative  $OsH_2(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$  is proposed as a key intermediate for the dehydrogenation. Its formation involves the concerted BH- and NH-hydrogen transfers from the amine-borane to the metal center and to a hydride ligand, respectively.



KEYWORDS: ammonia-borane dehydrogenation, osmium, dihydride, dihydrogen, kinetic, DFT, mechanism

Hydrogen has an energy content per mass that is about three times that of the carbon-based fossil fuels. Furthermore, its oxidation with  $O_2$  gives water instead of the undesirable greenhouse gas  $CO_2$ . However, unfortunately, the energy content per volume is very low at standard temperature and pressure. The concept of chemical storage is a particularly attractive strategy to adjust the storage size.<sup>1</sup> In this context, ammonia-borane is a promising material due to its high hydrogen content and the fact that a variety of transition metal compounds,<sup>2</sup> including carbonyl derivatives of group 6,<sup>3</sup> as well as Fe,<sup>4</sup> Ru,<sup>5</sup> Rh,<sup>6</sup> Ir,<sup>7</sup> Ni,<sup>8</sup> and Pd<sup>9</sup> species, have the ability of promoting its kinetically controlled dehydrogenation.<sup>10</sup>

Two general mechanisms are being considered in order to rationalize the dehydrogenation process (Scheme 1), on the basis of computational studies and a few experimental observations:<sup>11</sup> inner-sphere (a) and outer-sphere (b). The first of them is characterized by a cyclic change of two units in the metal center oxidation state of the involved species. The concerted or stepwise BH and NH hydrogen transfers from ammonia-borane to the catalyst metal center give aminoborane and generate a dihydride intermediate, which subsequently undergoes an intramolecular reduction to form a dihydrogen intermediate. The release of the coordinate H<sub>2</sub> molecule from the latter affords the catalyst again. In contrast to the innersphere process, the oxidation state of the catalyst metal center does not change during the outer-sphere catalysis and the dihydrogen formation is ligand assisted. Such a mechanism requires the catalyst to possess a group in the coordination sphere of the metal center with sufficiently basic free electron pairs (X). Thus, because the substrate contains hydridic BH and protic NH bonds, the simultaneous or nearly simultaneous

Scheme 1. Mechanistic Proposals for the Catalytic Ammonia-Borane Dehydrogenation

a) Inner-sphere H  

$$L_nM \xrightarrow{BH_3NH_3} L_nM \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_1^{H_3}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_nM \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_nM \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_nM \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_nM$$
  
b) Outer-sphere H  
 $L_{n-1}M-X \xrightarrow{BH_3NH_3} L_{n-1}M-X \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_{n-1}M-X \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_{n-1}M-X \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_{n-1}M-X$   
c) Hydride pathway H  
 $L_{n-1}M-H \xrightarrow{BH_3NH_3} L_{n-1}M-H \xrightarrow{H} \underbrace{H_2^{H_2}}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_{n-1}M-H \xrightarrow{H_2} \underbrace{L_{n-1}M-H_2}_{-H_2BNH_2} L_{n-1}M-H$ 

BH hydride transfer to the metal center and NH proton transfer to the coordinated ligand X are possible. The subsequent  $H^+$  transfer from the XH group to the hydride ligand in the resulting species yields the key dihydrogen intermediate. A third mechanism is observed when the catalyst contains a hydride ligand (c, in Scheme 1); the direct NH transfer to the latter affords the dihydrogen intermediate

```
Received: October 7, 2014
Revised: November 20, 2014
Published: November 21, 2014
```

ACS Publications © 2014 American Chemical Society

without any change in the metal oxidation state nor ligand assistance.  $^{12} \ \ \,$ 

We have recently shown that osmium should be included among the relevant metals in amine-borane dehydrocoupling.<sup>13</sup> Now, we report an osmium-promoted ammonia-borane dehydrogenation via a c type mechanism, on the basis of a kinetic investigation and DFT calculations.

The known five-coordinate complex OsHCl(CO)(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>14</sup> reacts with "BuLi to give the dihydride-1-butene derivative OsH<sub>2</sub>(CO)( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHEt)(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1), as a result of the replacement of the chloride ligand of the starting compound by a butyl group and a subsequent  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination reaction at the latter.<sup>15</sup> In tetrahydrofuran, complex 1 dissociates the coordinated olefin, and the resulting dihydride OsH<sub>2</sub>(CO)(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2) promotes the release of 1 equiv of molecular hydrogen from ammonia-borane, with a turnover frequency value at 50% conversion (TOF<sub>50%</sub>) of 46 h<sup>-1</sup>, at 31 °C and constant atmospheric pressure. Figure 1 shows the course of the dehydrogenation (eq 1), which yields polyaminoborane, according to the IR of the formed white insoluble material<sup>16</sup> (Figure S2).

$$nBH_3NH_3 \xrightarrow{1} nH_2 \uparrow + [BH_2NH_2]_n \downarrow$$
 (1)



Figure 1.  $H_2$  gas evolution in the catalytic dehydrogenation of  $BH_3NH_3$  (0.33 M) with 1 (1.67 × 10<sup>-2</sup> M) in THF at 304 K.

The amount of H<sub>2</sub> formed was measured by displacing vaseline oil from a gas buret (Figure S1). In an effort to shed light into the mechanism of the dehydrogenation, the kinetics of the process was studied. Initial rates were determined from the gas evolution experiments (Figure 2) by using eq 2, where dV/dt is the initial rate measured from the gas evolution experiments corrected to 1 atm, *R* is the molar gas constant, *T* is the temperature (K) and  $V_{sol}$  is the total volume of the reaction solution.

$$d[H_2]/dt = (dV/dt)/RTV_{sol}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

A simple rate law for the dehydrogenation of ammoniaborane catalyzed by **1** is

$$d[H_2]/dt = k[BH_3NH_3]^a [\mathbf{1}]^b$$
(3)

The rate dependence on ammonia-borane concentration was determined by measuring the initial rates with variable  $[BH_3NH_3]_0$  from 0.17 to 0.5 M but a fixed concentration of osmium precursor of  $1.67 \times 10^{-2}$  M (Table 1). Under these conditions, the value for the rate is constant within the experimental error (Figure S3), indicating that the dehydrogenation has no dependence on the concentration of ammonia-



**Figure 2.** Plots of  $H_2$  evolved for the catalytic dehydrogenation of  $BH_3NH_3$  (0.33 M) at different [1] in THF at 304 K. [1]: 0.0133 M (blue  $\blacklozenge$ ), 0.0167 M (red  $\blacksquare$ ), 0.025 M (green  $\blacktriangle$ ), 0.033 M (purple  $\blacklozenge$ ), 0.042 M (orange  $\bigtriangleup$ ).

Table 1. Kinetic Data for the Dehydrogenation of Ammonia-Borane Promoted by 1

T (K)	$10^{2}[1]$ (M)	$[BH_3NH_3](M)$	$10^{2} d[H_{2}]/dt (M \cdot min^{-1})$	$k (\min^{-1})$
304	1.33	0.33	1.39	1.04
304	1.67	0.33	1.90	1.14
304	2.50	0.33	2.86	1.14
304	3.33	0.33	3.76	1.14
304	4.20	0.33	4.59	1.09
304	1.67	0.17	2.09	1.25
304	1.67	0.21	1.97	1.18
304	1.67	0.25	2.06	1.23
304	1.67	0.42	1.83	1.10
304	1.67	0.50	1.74	1.04
293	1.67	0.33	0.51	0.31
298	1.67	0.33	1.09	0.65
308	1.67	0.33	3.42	2.05
313	1.67	0.33	4.62	2.77

borane (i.e., a = 0 in eq 3). Similarity, to determine the reaction order for the osmium precursor, initial rates were measured by varying the precursor loading from  $1.33 \times 10^{-2}$  to  $4.20 \times 10^{-2}$ M with a fixed [BH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> of 0.33 M. The plot of ln(d[H<sub>2</sub>]/dt) versus ln[1] yields a straight line of slope  $1.03 \pm 0.04$  (Figure <u>S4</u>), demonstrating that the reaction is first order in osmium concentration (i.e., b = 1 in eq 3). Therefore, the catalytic rate law is

$$d[H_2]/dt = k[\mathbf{1}] \tag{4}$$

A plot of d[H<sub>2</sub>]/dt versus [1] (Figure 3) provides a value of  $1.11 \pm 0.03 \text{ min}^{-1}$  for k at 304 K.

The lack of dependence on the concentration of ammoniaborane suggests that the unsaturated dihydride **2**, resulting from the dissociation of the olefin from **1**, rapidly reacts with ammonia-borane to be fully converted to the key intermediate of the catalysis prior to the rate-determining step. In agreement with this, different <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of the catalytic solutions, during the dehydrogenation and at the end of the reaction, show only one singlet at 49.9 ppm (Figure S5) corresponding to the dihydride-dihydrogen derivative OsH<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -H<sub>2</sub>)(CO)(P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (4).<sup>17</sup> The activation parameters calculated from the Eyring analysis (Figure 4) are  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 19.6 \pm 2.5$  kcalmol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 6 \pm 9$  cal-mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>. The slightly positive value of the activation entropy suggests an increase of the degrees of freedom of the system at the transition state of the



Figure 3. Plot of initial rate of the dehydrogenation of  $BH_3NH_3$  (0.33 M) versus [1] in THF at 304 K.



Figure 4. Eyring plot for the dehydrogenation of BH\_3NH\_3 (0.33·M) with 1 (1.67  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup>·M) in THF.

determining step, which is consistent with a separation of the dihydrogen ligand from the osmium atom and subsequent dissociation to regenerate the dihydride **2**.

To gain insight into the formation of the dihydridedihydrogen intermediate  $OsH_2(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$  (4), we have carried out DFT calculations (M06, 6-31G\*\*/LanL2dz) on the reaction of **2** with ammonia-borane, using PMe<sub>3</sub> as phosphine model. The changes in free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) have been computed in gas phase, at 298.15 K and P = 1 atm. Figure 5 shows the energy profile.

Complex 2 is an unsaturated square pyramidal *cis*-dihydride species with one of the hydride ligands (H<sup>a</sup>) disposed trans to the vacancy. The coordination of ammonia-borane at the latter, in a Shimoi manner,<sup>18</sup> gives the intermediate  $OsH_2(\eta^{1-1})$  $H_3BNH_3)(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$  (3) with the coordinated BH-hydrogen situated trans to a hydride (H<sup>a</sup>) and one of the NH-hydrogens pointing out the other one (H<sup>b</sup>). The coordination is exergonic by 15.5 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Intermediate 3 releases BH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> after transferring the coordinated HB-hydrogen to the metal center and a NH-hydrogen to the hydride H<sup>b</sup>, through a concerted process via TS1, which only lies 14.4 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> above 3. This transition state can be described as a  $\eta^1$ -H<sup>b</sup>-H<sup>N</sup> species where the asymmetric dihydrogen ligand  $(H^b-H^N = 0.865 \text{ Å})$  is stabilized by interaction with the NH<sub>2</sub> group of the boron ligand  $(H^{N}-N = 1.698 \text{ Å})$ . The hydrogen transfer from ammonia-borane leads to the dihydride-dihydrogen derivative 4a. Its dihydrogen ligand (H-H = 0.868 Å) lies in the perpendicular plane to the P-Os-P direction, between the hydride ligands, trans disposed to the carbonyl group.



**Figure 5.** Energy profile ( $\Delta G$  in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) for BH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub> dehydrogenation, showing the optimized structures of **2**, **3**, **TS1** and **4a**. The energy of **2** + **BH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>** has been taken as reference.

Complex 4a isomerizes via the tetrahydride 4b into the *cis*dihydride isomer 4c (Figure 6). Tetrahydride 4b is 4.6 kcal



**Figure 6.** Energy profile ( $\Delta G$  in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the hydride/ dihydrogen site exchange in 4 and H<sub>2</sub> dissociation, showing the optimized structures of intermediates 4b and 4c. The Gibbs energy of BH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> was added to compare with Gibbs energy values of Figure 5 (see the Supporting Information).

mol<sup>-1</sup> more stable than **4a** and 1.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> less stable than **4c**. In the latter, the dihydrogen ligand is disposed almost parallel to the P–Os–P direction. The transformation from **4a** to **4c** occurs through the transition states **TS2** and **TS3** and is barrierless. In agreement with this, in solution, the hydride and dihydrogen ligands of  $OsH_2(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$  (**4**) rapidly exchange their positions (i.e., **4a** and its isomers **4b** and **4c** are living in a fast equilibrium). The coplanar *cis* disposition of the dihydrogen ligand with regard to both hydrides in **4a** and the

protic nature of one of the hydrogen atoms of the dihydrogen ligand<sup>19</sup> could also facilitate the isomerization without the participation of **4b**. The DFT calculated energy for the dissociation of molecular hydrogen from **4c** of 19.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> agrees well with that obtained from Figure 4. Caulton and co-workers have estimated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy a  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  (95 °C) value of 19.6 ± 0.5 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the dihydrogen dissociation from the equilibrium mixture,<sup>20</sup> which is also in agreement with that calculated from the Eyring plot (17.3 ± 3 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The cycle shown in Scheme  $2^{21}$  which is consistent with the kinetic results, introduces the results of the DFT calculations in

## Scheme 2. Catalytic Cycle for the BH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub> Dehydrogenation Promoted by 1



the catalytic context. The  ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectra of the catalytic solutions do not contain any different signal as that of ammonia-borane—neither do the spectra at the end of the reaction. This and the rate law shown in eq 4 suggest that the aminoborane polymerization is an off-metal process<sup>22</sup> of low activation energy, which probably occurs by nucleophilic solvent assistance.<sup>23</sup>

In conclusion, the unsaturated dihydride  $OsH_2(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$ promotes the release of 1 equiv of  $H_2$  from ammonia-borane. The dehydrogenation takes place via the dihydride-dihydrogen complex  $OsH_2(\eta^2-H_2)(CO)(P^iPr_3)_2$ , which is formed by concerted BH- and NH-hydrogen transfers from the amineborane to the metal center and to a hydride ligand, respectively, without any change in the metal oxidation state nor ligand assistance.

### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The following file is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/cs501536d.

Experimental details, characterization data, and computational details (<u>PDF</u>)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: maester@unizar.es.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Spanish MINECO (Projects CTQ2011-23459 and Consolider Ingenio 2010 (CSD2007-00006)), the DGA (E35), and the European Social Fund (FSE) is acknowledged. M.M. thanks the Spanish MEC for her FPU grant.

#### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Yadav, M.; Xu, Q. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 9698–9725.
(b) Dalebrook, A. F.; Gan, W.; Grasemann, M.; Moret, S.; Laurenczy, G. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8735–8751.

(2) See for example: (a) Clark, T. J.; Lee, K.; Manners, I. Chem.— Eur. J. 2006, 12, 8634–8648. (b) Stephens, F. H.; Pons, V.; Baker, R. T. Dalton. Trans. 2007, 2613–2626. (c) Hamilton, C. W.; Baker, R. T.; Staubitz, A.; Manners, I. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 279–293. (d) Staubitz, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Sloan, M. E.; Manners, I. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4023–4078. (e) Staubitz, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Manners, I. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4079–4124.

(3) See for example: García-Vivó, D.; Huergo, E.; Ruiz, M. A.; Travieso-Puente, R. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, 4998–5008.

(4) (a) Vance, J. R.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Lee, K.; Manners, I. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 4099–4103. (b) Baker, R. T.; Gordon, J. C.; Hamilton, C. W.; Henson, N. J.; Lin, P.-H.; Maguire, S.; Murugesu, M.; Scott, B. L.; Smythe, N. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 5598–5609. (c) Sonnenberg, J. F.; Morris, R. H. ACS. Catal. 2013, *3*, 1092–1102. (d) Bhattacharya, P.; Krause, J. A.; Guan, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 11153–11161.

(5) (a) Blaquiere, N.; Diallo-Garcia, S.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Black, D. A.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 14034–14035. (b) Käb, M.; Friedrich, A.; Drees, M.; Schneider, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 905–907. (c) Conley, B. L.; Williams, T. J. Chem. Commun. **2010**, 46, 4815–4817. (d) Conley, B. L.; Guess, D.; Williams, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 14212–14215. (e) Schreiber, D. F.; ÓConnor, C.; Grave, C.; Ortin, Y.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Phillips, A. D. ACS Catal. **2012**, 2, 2505–2511. (f) Marziale, A. N.; Friedrich, A.; Klopsch, I.; Drees, M.; Celinski, V. R.; auf der Günne, J. S.; Schneider, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 13342–13355.

(6) See for example: (a) Jaska, C. A.; Temple, K.; Lough, A. J.; Manners, I. Chem. Commun. 2001, 962–963. (b) Jaska, C. A.; Temple, K.; Lough, A. J.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9424–9434.
(7) (a) Denney, M. C.; Pons, V.; Hebden, T. J.; Heinekey, D. M.; Goldberg, K. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12048–12049. (b) John, A. S.; Goldberg, K. I.; Heinekey, D. M. Top Organomet. Chem. 2013, 40, 271–288.

(8) (a) Keaton, R. J.; Blacquiere, J. M.; Baker, R. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1844–1845. (b) Yang, X.; Hall, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1798–1799.

(9) Kim, S.-K.; Han, W.-S.; Kim, T.-J.; Kim, T.-Y.; Nam, S. W.; Mitoraj, M.; Piekoś, L.; Michalak, A.; Hwang, S.-J.; Kang, S. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9954–9955.

(10) Primary and secondary amine-boranes are also efficiently dehydrogenated by transition metal compounds. For some relevant papers, see: (a) Pun, D.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3297–3299. (b) Sloan, M. E.; Staubitz, A.; Clark, T. J.; Russell, C. A.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3831–3841. (c) Vogt, M.; de Bruin, B.; Berke, H.; Trincado, M.; Grützmacher, H. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 723–727. (d) Dallanegra, R.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Chaplin, A. B.; Manners, I.; Weller, A. S. Chem.

Commun. 2011, 47, 3763–3765. (e) Sewell, L. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Weller, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3598–3610. (f) Roselló-Merino, M.; López-Serrano, J.; Conejero, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10910–10913. (g) Johnson, H. C.; Leitao, E. M.; Whittell, G. R.; Manners, I.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Weller, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9078–9093. (h) Vance, J. R.; Schäfer, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Lee, K.; Turner, J.; Whittell, G. R.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3048–3064.

(11) Waterman, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5629-5641.

(12) Paul, A.; Musgrave, C. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8153–8156.

(13) Esteruelas, M. A.; Fernández, I.; López, A. M.; Mora, M.; Oñate, E. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 1104–1107.

(14) (a) Esteruelas, M. A.; Werner, H. J. Organomet. Chem. **1986**, 303, 221–231. (b) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oro, L. A. Adv. Organomet. Chem. **2001**, 47, 1–59.

(15) (a) Albéniz, M. J.; Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; López, A. M.; Oro, L. A.; Zeier, B. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 3746–3748. (b) Albéniz, M. J.; Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; López, A. M. *Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, 545–546, 495–506.

(16) As can be seen in Figure S1, the IR spectrum of the insoluble white material obtained is identical to that reported by Manners et al. See: Staubitz, A.; Soto, A. P.; Manners, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 6212–6215. Recently, this solid has been further characterized as a polymer by ultrahigh resolution electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>1</sup>H solid-state NMR, and wideangle X-ray scattering (WAXS). See: Staubitz, A.; Sloan, M. E.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Friedrich, A.; Schneider, S.; Gates, P. J.; Schmedt auf der Günne, J.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 13332–13345.

(17) Werner, H.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Meyer, U.; Wrackmeyer, B. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 11–15.

(18) Kawano, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Miyake, S.; Kakizawa, T.; Shimoi, M. Chem.—Eur. J. **200**7, 13, 6920–6931.

(19) (a) Esteruelas, M. A.; Oro, L. A. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 577–588.
(b) Kubas, K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4152–4205.

(20) Gusev, D. G.; Kuhlman, R. L.; Renkema, K. B.; Eisenstein, O.; Caulton, K. G. Inorg. Chem. **1996**, 35, 6775–6783.

(21) This cycle is strongly supported by the rate law shown in eq 4. According to the rate-determining-step approximation  $d[H_2]/dt = k[4]$ , where [4] = [1] - [2] - [3]. However  $[2] = [4] \cdot [H_2BNH_2]/K_1 \cdot K_2 \cdot [BH_3NH_3] \approx 0$  and  $[3] = [4] \cdot [H_2BNH_2]/K_2 \approx 0$  because the species  $H_2BNH_2$  polymerizes. Thus, [4] = [1] and  $d[H_2]/dt = k[1]$ . (22) An on-metal polymerization process should involve that [1] =

[4] + [5], with 5 being the metal species responsible of the polymerization, and therefore, the rate law of eq 4 should not be possible.

(23) Malakar, T.; Roy, L.; Paul, A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 5812–5817.